Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/08	8,666	FANDKE ET AL.		
	Exam	niner	Art Unit		
	Juliet	C. Switzer	1634		
All Participants:	Stat	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>			
(1) Juliet C. Switzer.	(3	3)			
(2) <u>Melissa Kolom</u> .	(4				
Date of Interview: 24 October 2006	Tim	e:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		oresentative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 42			·		
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NA	ATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ition. The exam	iner will provide a voor the substance of	vritten summary of the substan the interview, since the intervie	ce	
·					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/Applic	ant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to claim 42 that would place the application in condition for allowance. Melissa Kolom approved the amendment in a return phone call on 10/25/06. The content of the amendment is provided in detail in the Examiner's amendment attached to the Notice of Allowability.